

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORGE SALHUANA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

DIAMOND FOODS, INC, MICHAEL J.
MENDES, and STEVEN M. NEIL,

Defendants.

No. C 11-05386 WHA

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
WHY CASES SHOULD NOT
BE CONSOLIDATED**

AND RELATED ACTIONS

Pursuant to the Court's order dated December 21, 2011, the following cases are related
under Civil Local Rule 3-12:

C 11-05386 *Salhuana v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05399 *Mitchem v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05409 *Woodward v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05457 *Rall, et al. v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05479 *Simon v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05615 *MacFarland v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

Any party objecting to the consolidation of these cases pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must show cause in writing no later than January 9, 2012, why
the cases should not be consolidated, together with all cases related to them in the future. Any

1 response to such objections must be filed no later than January 17, at which time the matter will
2 be deemed submitted.

3 The Court is aware that there is a related derivative action. That action will maintain its
4 related status, but the Court does not propose consolidating that action with the above-stated
5 actions.

6
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: January 3, 2012.



10 WILLIAM ALSUP
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28